

Do you really need it?

Reducing consumption of personal electronic devices in Scandinavian countries



Aim:

Excessive consumption of personal electronic devices in the global North has a huge environmental and social impact. Reducing this type of consumption can improve health and reduce emissions and electronic waste on a global scale. Policies aimed at supporting circular economy that promote recycling, sustainability and awareness can help mitigate this risk.

Key points:

- Overconsumption of personal electronic devices in Scandinavia contributes to climate change in a myriad of ways, ranging from production to the disposal of devices.
- Moving towards a circular economy will promote global health equity and climate justice
- Reducing this kind of overconsumption requires impactful policy actions, strong individual commitment and collective efforts.

1. Background

Consumer electronics contribute significantly to climate change, primarily due to energy-intensive manufacturing processes and the resulting e-waste. Globally, merely 22.3% of e-waste was documented as formally collected and recycled in 2022 (WHO, 2024). Producing electronics requires vast amounts of energy and resources, including mining minerals and metals, and manufacturing components. This process releases substantial greenhouse gas emissions. When electronics are discarded, they often end up in landfills, where they decompose and release harmful chemicals and CO₂ into the environment. Improper disposal of e-waste contaminates soil, air, and water. The social impact of consumer electronics production and wastage is also considerable, particularly in the areas of labor exploitation in supply chains, and environmental and health risks associated with electronic waste (Kiddee et al., 2013).

Technology is deeply embedded in Scandinavian lifestyles, but overuse is a common concern. A 2023 survey found that 60% of Scandinavians felt they used their phones excessively, with youth aged 16–17 being most affected (Deloitte, 2023). Among youth, buying the latest gadgets yearly is sometimes seen as irresponsible. This shift in social narrative emphasizes responsible tech use and environmental consciousness (Savanta, 2023). Trends show that consumers want to avoid buying more products than they need. This finding is also supported by recent growth in the second-hand and refurbished device markets. In fact, about one-quarter of Nordic consumers regularly purchase second-hand electronics (Statista, 2021; BCG, 2024). Still, green purchasing behavior varies. One survey showed 58%

value sustainability, but only 29% report their purchases reflect this (BCG, 2024).

2. Analysis

Minerals that are needed to produce electronics are often mined in regions with weak labor laws and substandard environmental regulations (Diprose et al., 2020). Mining for these rare earth elements and metals often leads to deforestation, habitat destruction, and human rights concerns (Nayar, 2021). Marginalized communities in low- and middle-income countries (LMIC) face toxic exposure, unfair and exploitative working conditions and public health challenges while wealthier nations evade responsibility (WHO, 2021; Thinyane & Sasseti, 2020). Children and pregnant women are especially vulnerable to the health risks posed by the improper disposal of e-waste. This issue requires us to highlight the concepts of equity and justice. Distributional justice is about the distribution of the benefits and burdens of climate change and climate policies (Tribaldos and Kortetmäki, 2022). In accordance with the One Health framework, the interconnectedness of animal, human and ecosystem health must be considered as a whole (Frazzoli et al. 2022). Distributional justice should therefore focus not specifically on human health, but on One Health.

To successfully push the change towards more sustainable production and use of consumer electronics, people need to be motivated for behavioral change. Key companies such as Apple, Samsung, Huawei, HP, Dell, and Sony must be pushed towards sustainable product design and development. Consumers should be encouraged to choose devices with longer durability and re-usability, and to demand this from the companies producing the devices. People need to be encouraged

to invest in devices that can “do it all”, rather than buying several devices for different tasks (Li et al. 2014). As shown in the introduction, Scandinavian people do want to buy sustainable products, but there seems to be an intention-action gap; while most believe personal action matters, price and convenience often override climate concerns. Only about 20% of Scandinavia consumers actively consider a company’s carbon footprint when making purchases (Deloitte, 2024). Leveraging our consumer power to encourage companies to develop in a more sustainable direction is essential.

Policy provisions such as Sustainable Development Goal 12 (United Nations Economic Commission for Europe, 2021) and several European Directives aim to reduce consumption of electronic devices by promoting waste reduction, recycling, sustainable purchasing, and consumer awareness. The Waste Electrical and Electronic Equipment Directive sets targets for e-waste collection and recycling for the countries by different categories (The European Parliament and the Council, 2012), while Energy Efficiency Directive aims to reduce energy consumption by at least 11.7% by 2030 (The European Parliament and the Council, 2023). Likewise, EU directives on empowering consumers for green transition demand comprehensive information for the consumers for sustainable choices (The European Parliament and the Council, 2024). Despite international agreements, wealthy nations continue exporting hazardous e-waste to poorer countries, undermining safe disposal efforts (WHO,2024).

3. Conclusion

The overconsumption of personal electronic devices is a pressing issue that has an extensive environmental and social impact. Scandinavian consumers want to make environmentally friendly choices but lack incentives that convince them to turn their thoughts into actions. Influencing individual consumer choices requires adjusting mindsets, cultural change, and education. Additionally, their environment and the market must promote and reward sustainable choices. Legislation must aim at requiring transparency and accountability from companies that produce electronic devices and handle e-waste. Corporations must adjust their core values and goals to reflect environmental and social responsibility. Each chapter in the life cycle of electronic devices, from production to disposal, should be optimized to promote sustainable practices that include longevity, repairing, re-using, and recycling.

4. Recommendations

- Providing consumers with information that will promote sustainable choices (e.g. transparency, labeling, public campaigns)
- Promoting a circular economy through incentives geared towards consumers and companies (e.g. higher taxes on new products, lower taxes on second-hand products)
- Improving the global system for production and disposal of e-waste (e.g. promoting worker rights, proper recycling and stricter regulations)

Authors

Vera Zonneveldt, RN, MPhil International community health, University of Oslo, Norway

Patience K Gaa, RD, PhD Candidate in the Department of Dietetics, University of Ghana, Ghana

Marina Marquez Olivetto, PhD Candidate in Social Sciences and Law Faculty. University of Cordoba, Spain

Knút Háberg Eysturstein, PhD Candidate in Music Education, University of the Faroe Islands

Geha Nath Khanal, PhD Candidate in Health System, Faculty of Medicine, Health and Social Care, Canterbury Christ Church University, UK

Pengcheng Ni, Doctoral researcher in Department of Mechanical and Materials Engineering, University of Turku, Finland

Annamari Heikinheimo, DVM, PhD, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Helsinki and Microbiology Unit, Finnish Food Authority, Finland

Othman Haji, MD, MSc. Health Economics, National Health Insurance Fund Tanzania and Research Fellow at BCEPS, NCD Decision Support-Tanzania.

Alemayehu Hafte Tesfay, PhD Candidate in Anthropology: Mekelle University, Department of Anthropology, Ethiopia

5. References

- BCG. (2024). *Nordic sustainability retail report*. Boston Consulting Group.
<https://www.bcg.com/publications/2024/can-sustainable-products-be-profitable-for-nordic-retailers?utm>
- Deloitte. (2023). *Digital consumer trends survey: Scandinavian edition*. Deloitte Insights.
<https://nor.deloitte.com/rs/712-CNF-326/images/Digital%20Consumer%20Trends%202024%20-%20%27Scandinavian%20cut%27.pdf>
- Diprose, R., Kurniawan, N., Macdonald, K., & Winanti, P. (2020). Regulating sustainable minerals in electronics supply chains: local power struggles and the ‘hidden costs’ of global tin supply chain governance. *Review of International Political Economy*, 29(3), 792–817.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/09692290.2020.1814844>
- Freepik. Front page picture. *AI picture generator*. Retrieved June 18, 2025. https://www.freepik.com/free-ai-image/concept-person-suffering-from-cybersickness-technology-addiction_204412126.htm#fromView=search&page=1&position=35&uuid=af0c7121-a480-47eb-8c82-310bec8cac64&query=e-waste
- Islam, M.T., Huda, N., Baumer, A., Shumon, R., Zaman, A., Ali, F., Hossain, R. & Sahajwalla, V. (2021). A global review of consumer behavior towards e-waste and implications for the circular economy. *Journal of Cleaner Production*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.128297>.
- Kiddee, P., Naidu, R. & Wong, M.H. (2013). Electronic waste management approaches: An overview. *Waste Management*, 33(5). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2013.01.006>
- Li, J., Zeng, X., & Stevels, A. (2014). Ecodesign in Consumer Electronics: Past, Present, and Future. *Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology*, 45(8), 840–860.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/10643389.2014.900245>
- Nayar, J. (2021, March 15). *Not so “green” technology: The complicated legacy of rare earth mining*. *Harvard International Review*. Retrieved June 19, 2025. <https://hir.harvard.edu/not-so-green-technology-the-complicated-legacy-of-rare-earth-mining/>
- Rene, E.R., Sethurajan, M., Ponnusamy, K.V., Kumar, G., Bao Dung, T.N., Brindhadevi, K. & Pugazhendhi, A. (2021). Electronic waste generation, recycling and resource recovery: Technological perspectives and trends. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2021.125664.
- Ruiz, A. (2024, April 14). Latest global e-waste statistics and what they tell us. *TheRoundup*. Retrieved on June 19, 2025 from: <https://theroundup.org/global-e-waste-statistics/>
- The European Parliament and the Council. (2012). *Directive on waste electrical and electronic Equipment*. <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:32012L0019>

The European Parliament and the Council. (2023). *Energy efficiency and amending Regulation (EU) 2023/955 (recast)*. *Official Journal of the European Union*. https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CONSIL:PE_15_2023_INIT

The European Parliament and the Council. (2024). *Amending Directives 2005/29/EC and 2011/83/EU as regards empowering consumers for the green transition through better protection against unfair practices and through better information*. *Official Journal of the European Union*. https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=OJ:L_202400825

Thinlyane, H. & Sasseti, F. (2020). Digital Technology for Unmasking Labour Exploitation in Supply Chains. In *IFIP Advances in Information and Communication Technology*. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-64697-4_20.

Thormundsson, B. (2024). Mobile devices in the Nordics – statistics & facts. *Statista*. Retrieved June 17, 2025. <https://www.statista.com/topics/7189/mobile-devices-in-the-nordics/#topicOverview>

Tribaldos, T. & Kortetmäki, T. (2022). Just transition principles and criteria for food systems and beyond. *Environmental Innovation and Societal Transitions*. 43, p. 244-256. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eist.2022.04.005>

United Nations Economic Commission for Europe. (2021). *Measuring and Monitoring Progress Towards the Sustainable Development Goals*. United Nations. <https://doi.org/10.18356/9789210051866>

World Health Organization. (2021). *Children and Digital Dumpsites: E-Waste Exposure and Child Health* (1st ed). World Health Organization. Retrieved June 17 2025. <https://iris.who.int/bitstream/handle/10665/341718/9789240023901-eng.pdf?sequence=1>

World Health Organization. (2024). *Electronic waste (e-waste)*. Retrieved June 17, 2025. [https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/electronic-waste-\(e-waste\)](https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/electronic-waste-(e-waste))