

## Evaluation report of PhD thesis

The report must be submitted to the PhD coordinator at the department 4 weeks prior to the planned public defence

---

Name of PhD candidate:

Title of thesis:

*Evaluation of the candidate's dissertation. Each of the following aspects should be answered.*

**Subject area of the thesis and the scientific field to which the thesis is a contribution:**

**Connective text/summary of the thesis (sammenstilling):**

**Outstanding and important theoretical and/or experimental details:**

**Technical qualities (structure, written presentation and general impression) of the thesis should be briefly commented on:**

**Discussion of the scientific significance of the thesis and key aspects related to theoretical framework, hypotheses, data, methodology and findings:**

**Other comments (any dissents in the committee should be mentioned here):**

**The report must conclude whether the thesis is worthy of being defended**

- ☐ The thesis is worthy of defense without any changes.
- ☐ The thesis may be worthy of defense but the thesis or the scientific work has minor shortcomings that should be corrected before the defense. The candidate should be able to do this within three months. The thesis must be re-examined by the original evaluation committee before final approval for public defence. *Please specify what changes should be made to the thesis in the evaluation report.*
- ☐ The dissertation is not worthy of defense, and has major shortcomings that should be corrected before it can be defended.

**Date:** \_\_\_\_\_

|                 |                 |                                |
|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|
| Signature:      | Signature:      | Signature:                     |
| Name:           | Name:           | Name:                          |
| <b>Opponent</b> | <b>Opponent</b> | <b>Leader of the committee</b> |