

To Faculty of Fine Art, Music and Design, University of Bergen

## **ASSESSMENT Committee for the degree of Philosophiae Doctor (PhD) in Artistic Research at the University of Bergen**

**Candidate ---**

**Project title ---**

### **RECOMMENDATION FROM ASSESSMENT COMMITTEE**

#### **1. Introduction** *(to be filled in by the faculty)*

Members of the Assessment Committee:

[name, title, institution] Chair

[name, title, institution]

[name, title, institution]

The framework for the assessment is outlined in the Regulations for the degree of Philosophiae Doctor (PhD) in Artistic Research at the University of Bergen, and the program description of the program for PhD Programme in Artistic Research Faculty of Fine Art, Music and Design, University of Bergen

In advance the committee has received:

- an account of what is to form the basis for the assessment
- Regulations for the degree of Philosophiae Doctor (PhD) in Artistic Research at the University of Bergen
- Program description for the PhD Programme in Artistic Research Faculty of Fine Art, Music and Design, University of Bergen
- Assessment guidelines

The assessment is based on the following results delivered from the candidate:

- Artistic results (list what, when, where)
- Material that communicates the artistic reflection (list what, when, where)

### **TO BE FILLED IN BY THE ASSESSMENT COMMITTEE:**

#### **2. About the project** (recommended: 300-500 words)

Brief description of the project

#### **3. Assessment** (recommended: 2000-3000 words)

Assessment of all components of the artistic PhD result, based on the requirements in the *Regulations for the degree of Philosophiae Doctor (PhD) in Artistic Research at the University of Bergen*, section 10-1, 12-1 and 12-2.

##### 3.1 The artistic result

- Note: The report must make mention of the terms *originality, expression, coherence, dissemination*

3.2 The artistic reflection

**4. Conclusion/recommendation (recommended: 75-150 words)**

The assessment committee recommends that the result shall (shall not) be approved and is (is not) worthy of a public defence.

The recommendation is unanimous.

Date

Names (sign), all committee members