



**Styre:** Fakultetsstyret ved Det humanistiske fakultet

**Dato:** 04.01.2018

**Styresak:** 6/18

**Arkivsaksnr:** 2017/15158-MED

**Møtedato:** 06.02.2018

---

## Opprykk til professor - oppnevning av sakkyndig komité innenfor fagområdet filosofi - søker Franz Ulrik Knappik

---

### Dokument i saken:

1. Forslag til komité fra Institutt for filosofi og førstesemesterstudier

### Bakgrunn

Det humanistiske fakultet har mottatt søknad om opprykk til professor fra førsteamanuensis Franz Ulrik Knappik innenfor fagområdet filosofi.

Institutt for filosofi og førstesemesterstudier har sendt følgende forslag til vurderingskomité:

- Professor Dina Emundts, Institut für Philosophie, Freie Universität Berlin
- Professor Hong Yu Wong, Centre for Integrative Neuroscience, Universität Tübingen
- Professor Simo Säätelä, Institutt for filosofi og førstesemesterstudier, UiB

I tråd med gjeldende retningslinjer er professor Dina Emundts foreslått som leder av komiteen.

### Forslag til vedtak:

I samsvar med forslag fra Institutt for filosofi og førstesemesterstudier oppnevner Det humanistiske fakultet følgende komitémedlemmer for å vurdere søkeren Franz Ulrik Knappik for opprykk til professor innenfor fagområdet filosofi:

- Professor Dina Emundts, Institut für Philosophie, Freie Universität Berlin
- Professor Hong Yu Wong, Centre for Integrative Neuroscience, Universität Tübingen
- Professor Simo Säätelä, Institutt for filosofi og førstesemesterstudier, UiB

Professor Dina Emundts oppnevnes som leder av komiteen.

Jørgen Magnus Sejersted  
dekan

Kim Ove Hommen  
fakultetsdirektør



Det humanistiske fakultet

Referanse

2017/15158-STT

Dato

07.12.2017

## **Forslag til komité for bedømmelse av professoroppykk - Franz Knappik**

Vi foreslår at følgende komité oppnevnes til å vurdere Franz Ulrich Knappik sin søknad om opprykk til professor:

Professor Dina Emundts  
Institut für Philosophie  
Freie Universität Berlin  
Habelschwerter Allee 30  
14195 Berlin

<http://www.geisteswissenschaften.fu-berlin.de/we01/institut/mitarbeiter/professuren/emundts/index.html>  
[dina.emundts@fu-berlin.de](mailto:dina.emundts@fu-berlin.de)

Professor Hong Yu Wong  
Centre for integrative neuroscience  
Universität Tübingen  
Otfried Muller Strasse 25  
72076 Tübingen

<https://sites.google.com/site/whywong/whywong@gmail.com>

Professor Simo Säätelä  
Institutt for filosofi og førstesemesterstudier  
Universitetet i Bergen  
Postboks 5020 Bergen

<http://www.uib.no/personer/Simo.Säätelä>  
[simo.säätelä@uib.no](mailto:simo.säätelä@uib.no)

Dette er et UiB-internt notat som godkjennes elektronisk i ePhorte

Professor Emundts kan være komiteens leder.

Vi ber om at de nødvendige grep gjøres for å få komiteen oppnevnt.

Reidar Lie  
instituttleder

Steinar Thunestvedt  
administrasjonssjef

## Declaration of impartiality

Appointment matter:

Advancement matter: Professorship

The undersigned confirms that (check off):

- I have read the "Regulations on Impartiality and Confidence"
- I cannot see that there are circumstances in this matter that would make me disqualified
- I request that the following circumstances be assessed for potential disqualification

If necessary, use a separate sheet

Bergen Dec. 10, 2017

.....  
Place and date



Digitalt signert av Simo  
Saätelä  
Dato: 2017.12.10 23:22:15  
+01'00'

.....  
Signature

## **Instructions for completion of the declaration of impartiality**

This form shall be completed by anyone who has been appointed as a committee member by the Faculty of Humanities at the University of Bergen in connection with appointment or advancement matters.

Anyone who is to perform work as a committee member shall always assess his/her own impartiality.

- If you find that you are impartial in relation to one or more of the parties to a matter upon such an assessment, you must notify the institute of this as soon as possible.
- If you are unsure of your own impartiality, you must list the circumstances you are unsure of and request that your impartiality be assessed by the institute.
- If it is concluded that there is no impartiality, but that there are still associations that should be disclosed, or should be assessed by the institute, then this should be entered on the form or on a separate sheet.

## **About the assessment of impartiality**

Committee members are subject to the provisions relating to impartiality in Chapter II of the Public Administration Act. In addition, the faculty has adopted its own regulations on impartiality and confidence, which have been enclosed with this form. These regulations are somewhat stricter than the provisions of the Act on some points.

Disqualification may be automatic because of a special association to a party to a matter, through, for example, a family relationship or being an academic advisor within the past three years. These circumstances have been included in the provisions for automatic disqualification in section 1.

Disqualification may also be based on a discretionary assessment. For such a discretionary assessment, it will be key to decide whether *there are any special circumstances which are apt to impair confidence in his or her impartiality*. Such an assessment will often be much more difficult than an assessment of automatic disqualification. It is therefore important to disclose the circumstances that have been assessed if you have concluded yourself that you are impartial in relation to an appointment or advancement matter.

For discretionary assessment of impartiality (disqualification), reference is made to the provisions on impartiality and confidence in the introduction. Disqualification may occur as a result of:

- Close personal or professional association with one or more of the applicants. This may be a close personal friendship or close professional collaboration, such as project cooperation or co-authorship.
- Possibility that the appointment of a specific applicant, regardless of the applicant's qualifications, would result in a personal or professional gain for a person or someone close to that person.
- Other circumstances that there may be reason to assume are apt to impair confidence in a decision if an individual takes part in the decision.

## Declaration of impartiality

Appointment matter:

Advancement matter: Professorship


The undersigned confirms that (check off):

- I have read the "Regulations on Impartiality and Confidence"
- I cannot see that there are circumstances in this matter that would make me disqualified
- I request that the following circumstances be assessed for potential disqualification

If necessary, use a separate sheet

Tuebingen, 15/12/2017

.....  
Place and date



.....  
Signature

PROF. HONG YU WONG  
UNIVERSITY OF TÜBINGEN

## Declaration of impartiality

Appointment matter:


Advancement matter: Professorship

The undersigned confirms that (check off):

- I have read the "Regulations on Impartiality and Confidence"
- I cannot see that there are circumstances in this matter that would make me disqualified
- I request that the following circumstances be assessed for potential disqualification

If necessary, use a separate sheet

Berlin, 11.12.17  
.....  
Place and date

  
.....  
Signature